## BDMSO<sub>2</sub> vs. MSO<sub>2</sub>

Francicleber Ferreira

V WLOGIA 17th November 2015

#### Fragments of SO

• We investigate fragments of second-order quantification:

 $\exists R\phi(R)$ 

"There is a relation R such that  $\phi(R)$ ."

#### Expressing Problems with Sentences.

- 3-Colourability: Given a graph G = (V, E) decide whether it can coloured with three different colours, so that no tow adjacent vertices has the same colour.
- It can be expressed in SO:

 $\exists R \exists G \exists B (\forall x (Rx \lor Gx \lor Bx) \land$ 

 $\forall x \forall y ((\mathbf{R}x \land \mathbf{R}y) \lor (\mathbf{G}x \land \mathbf{G}y) \lor (\mathbf{B}x \land \mathbf{B}y) \rightarrow \neg \mathbf{E}xy))$ 

#### Expressing Problems with Sentences.

- 3-Colourability: Given a graph G = (V, E) decide whether it can coloured with three different colours, so that no tow adjacent vertices has the same colour.
- It can be expressed in SO:

 $\exists R \exists G \exists B (\forall x (Rx \lor Gx \lor Bx) \land$ 

 $\forall x \forall y ((\mathbf{R}x \land \mathbf{R}y) \lor (\mathbf{G}x \land \mathbf{G}y) \lor (\mathbf{B}x \land \mathbf{B}y) \rightarrow \neg \mathbf{E}xy))$ 

#### **Relations of Bounded Degree**

- Let *A* be a set and  $R \subset A^k$  a *k*-ary relation.
- The Gaifman graph of *R* is the graph G = (A, E) such that

$${\it E}=\{({\it a},{\it a}')\in {\it A}| ext{ there is } ({\it a}_1,\ldots,{\it a}_k)\in {\it R},$$

$$a = a_i, a' = a_j, 1 \le i, j \le k$$
.

#### **Relations of Bounded Degree**

- Let *A* be a set and  $R \subset A^k$  a *k*-ary relation.
- The Gaifman graph of *R* is the graph G = (A, E) such that

$$m{E}=\{(m{a},m{a}')\inm{A}| ext{ there is }(m{a}_1,\ldots,m{a}_k)\inm{R},$$
 $m{a}=m{a}_i,m{a}'=m{a}_j,m{1}\leq i,j\leq k\}.$ 

Quantifying relations of bounded degree.

$$R = \{(a, a, b), \\ (b, c, e), \\ (a, d, a), \\ (d, b, c)\}$$



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ = 三 のへで

## Bounded-Degree Second-Order Logic

- The Gaifman degree d<sub>G</sub>(R) of R is the maximum degree of a vertex in G.
- The Bounded-Degree Second-Order Logic BDSO allows quantification over bounded-degree relations.
- The quantifiers

 $\exists^d$  and  $\forall^d$ .

$$\mathfrak{A}\models \exists^{d} R\phi(R)$$
iff

there is a relation  $\mathbf{R} \subset A^k$  with  $d_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathbf{R}) \leq d$  s.t.  $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathbf{R}) \models \phi(R)$ .

## Bounded-Degree Second-Order Logic

- The Gaifman degree d<sub>G</sub>(R) of R is the maximum degree of a vertex in G.
- The Bounded-Degree Second-Order Logic BDSO allows quantification over bounded-degree relations.
- The quantifiers

 $\exists^d$  and  $\forall^d$ .

$$\mathfrak{A}\models\exists^{d}m{R}\phi(m{R})$$

there is a relation  $\mathbf{R} \subset A^k$  with  $d_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathbf{R}) \leq d$  s.t.  $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathbf{R}) \models \phi(R)$ .

## Bounded-Degree Second-Order Logic

- The Gaifman degree d<sub>G</sub>(R) of R is the maximum degree of a vertex in G.
- The Bounded-Degree Second-Order Logic BDSO allows quantification over bounded-degree relations.
- The quantifiers

 $\exists^d$  and  $\forall^d$ .

$$\mathfrak{A}\models\exists^{d} R\phi(R)$$
iff

there is a relation  $\mathbf{R} \subset A^k$  with  $d_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathbf{R}) \leq d$  s.t.  $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathbf{R}) \models \phi(\mathbf{R})$ .

# BDSO, MSO and MSO<sub>2</sub>

- MSO: Quantify sets of vertices.
- MSO<sub>2</sub>: Quantify sets of edges.
- BDMSO<sub>2</sub>: Quantify sets of edges of bounded degree.

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

- BDSO: Quantify relations of bounded degree.
- MSO(*f*): Quantify unary functions.

#### BDSO, MSO and MSO<sub>2</sub>



ヘロト 人間 とくほとくほとう

## Separating ∃BDMSO<sub>2</sub> and ∃MSO<sub>2</sub>

- A query expressible in ∃MSO<sub>2</sub> but not in ∃BDMSO<sub>2</sub>
- $S = \{E, P, Q\}$
- Surjective Homomorphism
- Is there a surjective homomorphism from the subgraph induced by *P* to the subgraph induced by *Q*?
- Internal Surjective Homomorphism
- Is there a subset of edges that forms surjective homomorphism from the subgraph induced by *P* to the subgraph induced by *Q*?







◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ─ □ ─ の < @

## Separating ∃BDMSO<sub>2</sub> and ∃MSO<sub>2</sub>

- The structure  $\mathfrak{A}_{d,l,k}$  has  $m >> max\{d, l, k\}$  cycles
- *Q* is a independent set of size *m*
- Each cycle has length *c* >> *m*
- The structure  $\mathfrak B$  is obtained from  $\mathfrak A_{d,l,k}$  by glueing two cycles
- 𝔅<sub>d,l,k</sub> has *m* − 1 cycles and, hence, there is no internal surjective homomorphism



 $\mathfrak{A}_{d,l,k}$ 

 $\mathfrak{B}_{d,l,k}$ 

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

![](_page_18_Figure_3.jpeg)

#### Separating ∃BDMSO<sub>2</sub> and ∃MSO<sub>2</sub>

#### $(\mathfrak{A}_{d,l,k}, R_1, \ldots, R_l) \leftrightarrow_{f(l)} (\mathfrak{B}_{d,l,k}, R'_1, \ldots, R'_l)$

There is a bijection from  $(\mathfrak{A}_{d,l,k}, R_1, \ldots, R_l)$  to  $(\mathfrak{B}_{d,l,k}, R'_1, \ldots, R'_l)$  which preserves neighborhoods.

![](_page_19_Figure_3.jpeg)

・ロ ・ ・ 一 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・

## Separating ∃BDMSO<sub>2</sub> and ∃MSO<sub>2</sub>

- Internal surjective homomorphism is not expressible by ∃BDMSO<sub>2</sub>
- But internal surjective homomorphism is expressible in ∃MSO<sub>2</sub>

"Exists a set of edges between P and Q which is surjective

and satisfies the homomorphism clauses"

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

#### BDSO, MSO and MSO<sub>2</sub>

![](_page_21_Figure_1.jpeg)

・ロン ・四 と ・ ヨ と ・ ヨ と

#### Future

- Separate the other fragments.
- Investigate the hierarchies.
- Parameterized complexity.

## Thank You!

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ● □ ● ● ● ●